

KEVIN V. RYAN (CABN 118321)  
United States Attorney

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CABN 138549)  
Chief, Criminal Division

JOHN N. GLANG (GUAMBN 94012)  
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408)-535-5084  
Fax: (408)-535-5066

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
PONG LIN LIU, et al, )  
 )  
Defendants. )

No. CR 05-00723-JW

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING  
AND EXCLUDING TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned that the status hearing in this case, currently scheduled for Monday, July 31, 2006 at 1:30 p.m. be vacated and rescheduled for Monday, October 2, 2006 at 1:30 p.m. The parties further stipulate that the court may exclude the period of time from July 31, 2006 through and including October 2, 2006 from the computation of the period of time within which the trial must commence for the reasons set forth in the proposed order below.

It is so stipulated.

Dated: 7-13-06

/s/

JOHN N. GLANG  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

1	7/13/06	
2	Dated: _____	_____/s/_____ KURT K. ROBINSON Attorney for Pong Lin Liu
3		
4	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ CARLEEN R. ARLIDGE Attorney for Derrick Vu
5	Dated: _____	
6	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ SHAWN R. PARR Attorney for Phuong Nguyen
7	Dated: _____	
8	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ MATTHEW T. NEWMAN Attorney for Bounxou Billamay
9	Dated: _____	
10	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ VICKI H. YOUNG Attorney for Chan Ho Mg Mg Liu
11	Dated: _____	
12	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ MICHELLE D. SPENCER Attorney for Man Ning Tao
13	Dated: _____	
14	7/13/06	_____/s/_____ STEVEN F. GRUEL Attorney for Man Nei Lui
15	Dated: _____	
16		
17		
18		
19		

**ORDER**

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ordered that the status hearing in this case, previously scheduled for July 31, 2006 at 1:30 p.m., be vacated and rescheduled for Monday, October 2, 2006 at 1:30 p.m.

Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h), the court excludes the period of time from July 31, 2006 through and including October 2, 2006 from the computation of the period of time within which the trial must commence. The court FINDS that the ends of justice served by the delay outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The court bases this finding on the need of some of the defense counsel in this case to continue

1 to review discovery provided by the government and determine whether any pretrial motions  
2 will be made, the need of the parties to attempt to negotiate possible dispositions in this case, the  
3 complexity of the case, and as time necessary for effective preparation, within the meaning of 18  
4 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

5 It is so ordered:

6 Dated: July 17, 2006

7   
JAMES WARE  
United States District Judge